**APCP Research Bursary Scoring System**

Section  1 – **Course Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Course Title |  |
| Applicant Unique Number (whoever is administering this part of the process should anonymise and keep a master copy of the applicant’s name, designate, address and their unique code for unmasking when the scoring has been completed). |  |
| Funding requested  (exact amount) |  |
| Breakdown of funding requested | costings should be a cut and paste with comment on appropriateness for example realistic costs or gaps in costs which may limit the success of the project.  |

 Section 2 – **Eligibility**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes | No | N/A |
| Is the applicant is an APCP member |  |  |  |
| Funding requested is fully accounted for and meets the APCP criteria |  |  |  |
| If no, please comment      |

Section 3 – **Scoring**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | Score 3 | Score 2 | Score 1 | Score 0 | Score |
| 1. Background and rationale for the research
 | The background to the research is clearly explained and provides a good rationale. | The background to the research is adequately explained and provides an adequate rationale. | The background to the research is poorly explained and provides a limited rationale. | The background to the research is inappropriate or missing and does not provide a rationale. |  |
| 1. Research question/aims and objectives
 | Research question/aims and objective are clear. | Research question/aims and objective are adequate. | Research question/aims and objective are poorly expressed. | Research question/aims and objective are missing. |  |
| 1. Research design and the methods are appropriate to the aims and objectives. Ethics considered / times lines included.
 | Research design and the methods are clearly expressed and are appropriate to the aims and objectives. Ethics considered / time lines included. | Research design and the methods are adequately expressed and are appropriate to the aims and objectives. Ethics adequately considered/ time lines included. | Research design and the methods are poorly expressed and are not well aligned to the aims and objectives. Ethics partly considered / time lines included. | Research design and methods are missing. Ethics not considered/ time lines not mentioned. |  |
| 1. Funding is justified/proportionate to the research
 | Funding request is fully justified/ evidenced and proportionate  | Funding request is reasonably justified/ evidenced and proportionate | Funding request is poorly justified/ evidenced and proportionate | Funding request is inappropriate or missing. |  |
| 1. Dissemination plans – includes plans specific for paediatrics / APCP.
 | Dissemination has been carefully considered and is appropriate includes plans specific for paediatrics / APCP. | Dissemination has been considered and is adequate -includes adequate plans specific for paediatrics / APCP. | Dissemination is poorly considered -includes some plans specific for paediatrics / APCP. | Dissemination is not appropriate or has not been addressed. No links to paediatrics/ APCP. |  |
|  |       |  |  | **Total Score/15** |  |

     **Reviewers Comments**
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