

Evidence-based Reflective Commentary: When is a goal not a goal?

David Young – BSc (Hons), MCSP^{1,2*} and Kate Gravett - BA, MSc³

1. School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
2. Physiotherapy department, Addenbrooke's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
Co-Production Advocate for Cambridge Children's Hospital, Cambridge, UK

*Corresponding author: david.k.young@uea.ac.uk

Introduction and Context

The impact of childhood traumatic brain injury (TBI) is sudden and overwhelming - in an instant, the life trajectory of the injured child and their family is abruptly changed (Chevignard et al., 2020). Rehabilitation professionals, including paediatric physiotherapists, work alongside children and families in supporting recovery following TBI. Effective rehabilitation considers the background, needs and values of each individual and family when looking to integrate rehabilitation into everyday life (Baldwin et al., 2013; Ziegler and Hadders-Algra, 2020).

The value of collaborative working between healthcare professionals and key stakeholders - including experts by experience such as parents of children affected by TBI - is important in all aspects of health and care, and particularly relevant during the co-design process (Robert et al., 2022). Such collaboration can provide unique and important insights into the lived experience of those affected by TBI (Skivington et al., 2021).

This commentary is a reflection on the experiences of a paediatric physiotherapist (David) and worked alongside the mother of a child who suffered a TBI at the age of 11 (Kate) who worked together to co-design an intervention to support parents to deliver physiotherapy to their injured child following a TBI. This co-design work took place within the context of David's National Institute for Health and Care Research funded PhD (NIHR301583), resulting in a digital intervention called 'Physio Together'. It was during co-design discussions that the topic of rehabilitation goals was raised.

Talking about goals

Within the context of rehabilitation following TBI, parents reflected that talk of 'goals', 'strategies' or 'targets' could become code words for 'failure', 'not good enough' and 'not who you were'. It is not disputed that goals are an integral part of the rehabilitation process, and achieving the best outcome is a shared aspiration of the family and healthcare professionals alike (Young et al., 2024). However, where language around goals is deemed to be negative, this can exacerbate underlying anxieties, expectations, and low self-esteem of children who are at increased risk of developing poor mental health (Anderson et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2022).

Furthermore, it was reflected that as the pre-TBI family trajectory gets further away, terms like 'goals', 'strategies' or 'targets' may feel increasingly extraneous. Such terms may not capture what is most important to a child or family, especially if they are not truly patient-centred (Prescott et al., 2019). This can leave parents feeling bewildered and scrutinised, living between appointments with endless to-do lists of tasks that may feel only vaguely relevant. Therefore, collaboratively, it was felt that a goal is not a goal when it lacks meaning, causes heightened anxiety and where the metaphorical goalposts seemingly keep shifting.

It follows that by bringing together clinical expertise and lived experience during the co-design processes, the issue of rehabilitation goals was explored, and unique insights discovered. In light of parental reflections and feedback, it was agreed that the wording around rehabilitation goals could be reviewed. This reflected a shared

conviction that rehabilitation should move away from prescribed lists of exercises to taking part in interesting and meaningful activities (Novak, 2011). This included the idea of ‘rehab in disguise’, described by Kate as being a way of sustaining positive engagement in personalised and meaningful rehabilitation integrated into family life.

Shifting the language towards participation

The language of the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Function, Disability and Health (ICF), can be helpful in moving rehabilitation towards a more participation-based focus (WHO, 2001). Additionally, the F-words of childhood development is a child and family-friendly framework that embeds the words Functioning, Family, Fitness, Fun, Friends, and Future into the ICF and enables a holistic understanding of the child and family context prior to the onset of TBI whilst also guiding rehabilitation and shared decision making (Rosenbaum and Gorter, 2011).

Collaboratively, we propose that the final F-word ‘Future’ could be expanded to include ‘Future hopes’. This provides a shared focus for ‘what we are collectively working towards’ and offers an alternative way of framing the concept of goals beginning in the acute hospital setting and continuing throughout rehabilitation. Our collaboration revealed that the timing of discussions about ‘Future hopes’ with families should be a fluid and dynamic process. If done sensitively, considering ‘Future hopes’ in this way could meaningfully connect rehabilitation with existing interests and passions of the child and family. It could also provide flexibility in capturing and incorporating new interests and endeavours as they change over time.

‘Future hopes’ – an example

An example of this was shared by Kate, who described how her child participated in a set of beginner Kung Fu classes with other children with TBI, plus siblings if they wished (CBIT, 2016). The classes were part of a collaboration between the Child Brain Injury Trust (CBIT) and Cambridge Centre for Paediatric Neuropsychological Rehabilitation (CCPNR). Notable motor benefits accrued by her child were evident to Kate in the areas of gross motor function, coordination, proprioceptive and vestibular function, and balance. Additionally, other skills critical to cognitive processing, interpersonal communication and social functioning were also fostered. Kung Fu was originally presented as an opportunity to ‘learn something new’ by doing a familiar activity that peers took part in, however quickly evolved into a ‘Future hope’ as it became a new-found passion for Kate’s child who over time built on the initial skills to become a part-time Kung Fu teacher.

In this example, learning Kung Fu has provided a platform for self-expression whilst fostering new connections with siblings and friends following the TBI (Lyon et al., 2021). Kate reflected that gaining new interests, skills and confidence has been a reciprocal process for both her child and the wider family enabling them to review and reframe meaningful ‘Future hopes’.

Conclusion

As each family is unique in its composition and experiences, it is vital in our opinion, to include a trauma-informed and individualised approach to rehabilitation according to the ecology of each family (Hobfoll et al 2007; Baldwin et al., 2013). Outcomes for children and families are reciprocal and bi-directional (Wade et al., 2019; Jenkin et al., 2022), therefore specialists working in this field are fundamental in guiding them from the outset to continue a successful and evolving neurorehabilitation programme. Having a clear means of establishing and relating rehabilitation to what is meaningful to children and families may play an important part in this process.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Professor Hardeman (University of East Anglia), Professor Duschinsky (University of Cambridge) and Dr Mares (University of East Anglia) for their academic

supervision of the doctoral research, and for generously sharing their expertise. We also thank all participants that took part in the co-design workshops.

Ethical and R&D Approval: Ethical approval for this research was received from the University of East Anglia Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (Ref: ETH2324-0694).

Funding: This project was funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) (Clinical Doctoral Research Fellowship no. NIHR301583). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest declared.

Keywords: Physiotherapy; neurorehabilitation; goal setting; F-words of neuro development; family-centred care.

References

- Anderson, V., Brown, S., Newitt, H., and Hoile, H. (2009). 'Educational, vocational, psychosocial, and quality-of-life outcomes for adult survivors of childhood traumatic brain injury'. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 24(5), 303–312.
- Baldwin, P., King, G., Evans, J., McDougall, S., Tucker, M.A. and Servais, M. (2013). 'Solution-Focused Coaching in Pediatric Rehabilitation: An Integrated Model for Practice'. *Physical and Occupational Therapy In Pediatrics*, 33:4, 467-483.
- Chevignard, M., Câmara-Costa, H. and Dellatolas, G. (2020). 'Chapter 31 - Pediatric traumatic brain injury and abusive head trauma' in Gallagher, A., Bulteau, C., Cohen, D. and Michaud, J.L. (eds.). *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, Volume 173. Elsevier, Pages 451-484.
- Child Brain Injury Trust (2016). 'Child Brain Injury Trust Conference' [Online]. Available from: https://www.jiscmail.ac.uk/cgi-bin/webadmin?A3=ind1602&L=TBIFAMILIES&E=base64&P=823685&B=--_007_HE1PR03MB14031516BAAB2DFC04738BEB9DE0HE1PR03MB1403eurp_&T=application%2Fpdf;%20name=%22CBIT_A5_Conf_flyer_2016_final.pdf%22&N=CBIT_A5_Conf_flyer_2016_final.pdf&attachment=q&XSS=3 (Accessed 16th April 2024).
- Hobfoll, S.E., Watson, P., Bell, C.C., Bryant, R.A., Brymer, M.J., Friedman, M.J., Friedman, M., Gersons, B.P.R., de Jong, J.T.V.M., Layne, C.M., Maguen, S., Neria, Y., Norwood, A.E., Pynoos, R.S., Reissman, D., Ruzek, J.I., Shalev, A.Y., Solomon, Z., Steinberg, A.M. and Ursano, R.J. (2007). 'Five Essential Elements of Immediate and Mid-Term Mass Trauma Intervention: Empirical Evidence'. *Psychiatry*, 70(4):283-315.
- Jenkin, T., Anderson, V.A., D'Cruz, K., Scheinberg, A. and Knight, S. (2022). 'Family-centred service in paediatric acquired brain injury rehabilitation: Bridging the gaps'. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Science*, 23(3): 1-12.
- Lyon, I., Fisher, P. and Gracey, F. (2021). "'Putting a new perspective on life": a qualitative grounded theory of posttraumatic growth following acquired brain injury'. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 43(22), 3225-3233.
- Novak, I. (2011). 'Parent Experience of Implementing Effective Home Programs'. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 31(2):198–213.

- Prescott, S., Doig, E., Fleming, J. and Weir, N. (2019). 'Goal statements in brain injury rehabilitation: A cohort study of client-centredness and relationship with goal outcome'. *Brain Impairment*, 20, 226–239.
- Robert, G., Locock, L., Williams, O., Cornwell, J., Donetto, S. and Goodrich J. (2022). 'Co-Producing and Co-Designing'. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/157832BBAE1448211365D396CD110900/9781009237031AR.pdf/coproducing_and_codesigning.pdf (Accessed 16th April 2024).
- Roberts, H., Ford, T.J., Karl, A., Reynolds, S., Limond, J. Adlam, A-L.R. (2022). 'Mood disorders in young people with acquired brain injury: an integrated model'. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 16, 1-13.
- Rosenbaum, P. and Gorter, J.W. (2011). 'The 'F-words' in childhood disability: I swear this is how we should think!'. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 38(4), 457–463.
- Skivington, K., Matthews, L., Simpson, S.A., Craig, P., Baird, J., Blazeby, J.M., Boyd, K.A., Craig, N., French, D.P., McIntosh, E., Petticrew, M., Rycroft-Malone, J., White, M. and Moore, L. (2021). 'A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance'. *BMJ Research Methods and Reporting*, 374, 1-11.
- Wade, S.L., Fisher, A.P., Kaizar, E.E., Yeates, K.O., Taylor, H.G. and Zhang, N. (2019). 'Recovery Trajectories of Child and Family Outcomes Following Online Family Problem-Solving Therapy for Children and Adolescents after Traumatic Brain Injury'. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 25(9), 941-949.
- World Health Organization (2001). 'International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)'. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Young, D., Cawood, S., Mares, K., Duschinsky, R. and Hardeman, W. (2024). 'Strategies supporting parent-delivered rehabilitation exercises to improve motor function after paediatric traumatic brain injury: A systematic review'. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 00: 1–13.
- Ziegler, S.A. and Hadders-Algra, M. (2020). 'Coaching approaches in early intervention and paediatric rehabilitation'. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 62: 569–574.

How to cite this article:

D. Young / K Garrett. Evidence-based Reflective Commentary: When is a goal not a goal? The Association of Paediatric Chartered Physiotherapists Journal 2025; 00: 2 – 4 <https://doi.org/10.59481/197312>